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ACTS 25:1-27

ACTS 25:1. Now when Festus was come into the province, Of Judea, which was a Roman province, over which he was made governor by Nero, the Roman emperor, in the room of Felix; he now being landed in some part of the province, namely, at Caesarea, and so might be said to have entered upon the government of it, as the phrase will bear to be rendered; after three days he ascended from Caesarea to Jerusalem; he very likely came by sea from Italy to Judea, and landed at Caesarea; for though Joppa was the nearest port to Jerusalem, yet Caesarea was the safest, and most commodious port, being made so by Herod; (see Gill on “Acts 18:22”), and besides, it seems to have been very much the residence of the kings and governors of Judea, (Acts 12:19, 23:23,33) here Festus stayed three days after his landing, to rest himself after the fatigue of the voyage, and then went up to Jerusalem, the metropolis of the province of Judea.

ACTS 25:2. Then the high priest, Ananias, as in (Acts 23:2, 24:1) the Alexandrian copy, the Vulgate Latin version, and all the Oriental versions, read, “the chief priests”, the whole college of the priests: and the chief of the Jews; their rulers and elders, the members of the Jewish sanhedrin; informed him against Paul; they took the first opportunity of waiting upon him, when he was come to Jerusalem; hoping it might be a favourable one to them, since he was just entering upon his government, and might be willing to gratify the chief of the nation, and gain their affection and esteem, and since as yet he was not acquainted with their wicked dispositions and artifices; and brought a bill of information against Paul, and gave a large account of him, what a wicked man he was, and what evils he had committed; they laid many things to his charge, and very heavily accused him, and endeavoured to prepossess the governor, and prejudice him against him: and besought him; that he would grant them the following request.

ACTS 25:3. And desired favour against him, Paul; they asked what would be a favour to them, and a prejudice to him: or “of him”, that is, of Festus; they asked a favour of him, and desired it as such, as what would be gratefully accepted and acknowledged by them; which sense is confirmed by the Syriac version; the Arabic version renders it “to”, or “upon them”; that is, they asked him to grant a favour to them, or bestow one on them, which is as follows: that he would send for him to Jerusalem; that his case might be heard before him, and he might be tried and judged by him, as they pretended: laying wait in the way to kill him; this was their design, though they concealed it, and pretended no other view than that justice might take place: their scheme was, that if they could have prevailed upon Festus to have sent for Paul to Jerusalem, from Caesarea, they would have provided men, perhaps the same forty and upwards as before, in (Acts 23:12,13) to have laid in wait for him in the way as he came, and to have killed him: the whole of this shows the malice of these men, the badness of their cause, the indefatigableness and diligence to attain their end, the danger the apostle was in, and the care of Providence over him.

ACTS 25:4. But Festus answered, that Paul should be kept at Caesarea, Or that he was kept there, and was in proper custody, under the care of a centurion, and should continue there; nor was there any reason why he should be removed, especially since he should return thither speedily, as he adds: and that he himself would depart shortly thither; the answer was a very wise and prudent one, and the reasons given were just and strong; as that Paul had been sent to Caesarea, was left bound by his predecessor there; there he found him, and there he was under a proper guard, and
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ACTS 25:5. Let them therefore, said he, which among you are able, Who are at leisure, can spare time, and to whom it will be convenient, without interrupting other business, to take such a journey; and who are able to bear the expenses of it, without hurting their families, and whose health and age will admit of it; and above all, who are masters of this affair, and are capable of forming charges, and of supporting them with proper proofs and evidences: let such go down with me; from Jerusalem to Caesarea: and accuse this man: in proper form, according to the rules of law, of what he is guilty, and can be proved upon him: if there is any wickedness in him; or committed by him, anything that is absurd and unreasonable, notoriously flagitious and criminal; that is, contrary to the rules of reason, the common sense of mankind, and the laws of God and men; and especially of the Roman empire, or that is blasphemous or seditious.

ACTS 25:6. And when he had tarried among them more than ten days. The Alexandrian copy, and three of Beza’s copies, and some others, and the Vulgate Latin version read, “no more than eight or ten days”; and the Syriac and Ethiopic versions leave out the phrase “no more”, and read “when he had stayed there”, as the former; that is, at Jerusalem; or “when he had remained among them”, as the latter; the Jews, chief priests, and others, “eight or ten days”; the historian, not being certain to a day, expresses himself in this manner: he went down to Caesarea; from whence he came, and where Paul was: and the next day sitting in the judgment seat; the day after he was come to Caesarea, he sat upon the bench in the court of judicature, to try causes, and particularly the apostle’s, which he was very desirous of knowing, for which reason he so soon took the bench: and commanded Paul to be brought; from the place where he was kept a prisoner, to the judgment hall where Festus was.

ACTS 25:7. And when he was come, Into court: the Jews which came down from Jerusalem; along with Festus, perhaps the high priest with the elders, and Tertullus the orator, as before: stood round about; either the Apostle Paul, or the judgment seat; the witnesses and accusers were to stand, as well as the person accused; (see Gill on “Mark 14:57”). And laid many and grievous complaints against Paul; which they could not prove; for his moral conversation, both before and after conversion, was very strict and conformable to the laws of God and man; and yet as pure and inoffensive as he was, he was not exempt from the calumnies of men; and these many and very grievous: but it was his happiness, and to his honour through the grace of God, that his enemies could not make good anyone thing against him.

ACTS 25:8. While he answered for himself. As he was allowed by the Roman laws to do, he pleaded his own cause, and showed the falsehood of the charges exhibited against him; by observing, that as the crimes alleged against him were reducible to three heads, neither of them were just and true: neither against the law of the Jews; the law of Moses, whether moral, ceremonial, or judicial; not the moral law, that he was a strict observer of, both before and since his conversion; nor the ceremonial law, for though it was abolished, and he knew it was, yet for peace sake, and in condescension to the weakness of some, and in order to gain others, he submitted to it, and was performing a branch of it, when he was seized in the temple; nor the judicial law, which concerned the Jews as Jews, and their civil affairs: neither against the temple; at Jerusalem, the profanation of which he was
charged with, by bringing a Gentile into it; which was a falsehood, at least a mistake: *nor yet against Caesar, have I offended at all*; for he was charged with sedition, (Acts 24:5). Caesar was a common name to the Roman emperors, as Pharaoh was to the kings of Egypt; and which they took from Julius Caesar the first of them, who was succeeded by Augustus Caesar, under whom Christ was born; and he by Tiberius, under whom he suffered; the fourth was Caius Caligula; the fifth was Claudius, mentioned in (Acts 11:28, 18:2) and the present Caesar, to whom Paul now appealed, was Nero; and though succeeding emperors bore this name, it was also given to the second in the empire, or the presumptive heir to it: authors are divided about the original of Caesar, the surname of Julius; some say he had it from the colour of his eyes, which were “Caesii”, grey; others from “Caesaries”, his fine head of hair; others from his killing of an elephant, which, in the language of the Moors, is called “Caesar”: the more common opinion is, that he took his name from his mother’s womb, being “Caeso”, cut up at his birth, to make way for his passage into the world; in which manner also our King Edward the Sixth came into the world.

**ACTS 25:9. But Festus, willing to do the Jews a pleasure.** As did his predecessor Felix, (Acts 24:27) he being just entered upon his new government, and having met with some caresses and civilities from the Jews at Jerusalem, by whom he had been much pressed and urged about the affair of the apostle: *answered Paul, and said, wilt thou go up to Jerusalem, and there be judged of these things before me?* meaning by the Jewish sanhedrim, he Festus being present: this was what the Jews had requested of him when he was at Jerusalem, that he would send for Paul thither, and there let him be judged, and which request he had denied; but having been solicited and importuned by the Jews, perhaps as, they came down together, he was inclined to gratify them, and to admit of it that he should be tried at Jerusalem, before the sanhedrim, he being present; and yet he was unwilling to do this without the prisoner’s consent, he being a freeman of a Roman city; fearing he should be charged with delivering up a Roman into the hands of the Jews, which might be resented by the emperor and the Roman senate, should it come to their knowledge.

**ACTS 25:10. Then said Paul, I stand at Caesar's judgment seat.** Not that here was a seat in the judgment hall built by Herod for Caesar himself to sit in, should he ever come there, as some have thought; but the seat on which Festus sat is called Caesar’s judgment seat, because it was in a Raman court of judicature, and because Festus, who filled it, represented Caesar himself: *where I ought to be judged:* being a Roman citizen, and not at Jerusalem by the sanhedrim of the Jews, who had nothing to do with him: *to the Jews have I done no wrong, as thou very well knowest:* it may be by his predecessor Felix, who had informed him of this case; or by Lysias’s letter, which might come to his hands; or by the apostle’s answer and vindication of himself, which he now made. *have I done no wrong, as thou very well knowest:* it may be by his predecessor Felix, who had informed him of this case; or by Lysias’s letter, which might come to his hands; or by the apostle’s answer and vindication of himself, which he now made.

**ACTS 25:11. For if I be an offender,** Against the law of Moses, or the temple at Jerusalem, or Caesar the Roman emperor; *or have committed anything worthy of death:* by the laws of the Romans, as sedition, murder, etc. *I refuse not to die:* signifying that he did not decline going to Jerusalem, either through any consciousness of guilt, or fear of death;...
for if anything could be proved against him, that was of a capital nature, he did not desire to escape death; he was ready to die for it; this was no subterfuge, or shift, to evade or defer justice: but if there be none of these things; to be found, or proved, and made to appear: whereof these accuse me; pointing to the Jews, that came down to be his accusers, and had laid many and grievous charges against him: no man may deliver me unto them; not justly, or according to the Roman laws; suggesting that Festus himself could not do it legally; I appeal unto Caesar; to this the apostle was induced, partly by the conduct of the governor, who seemed inclined to favour the Jews; and partly by the knowledge he might have of their intention to lie in wait for him, should he go up to Jerusalem; and chiefly by the vision he had had, which assured him that he must bear witness of Christ at Rome, (Acts 23:11).

ACTS 25:12. Then Festus, when he had conferred with the council, Not with the Jewish sanhedrim, or any part of it that came down on this occasion; but with Roman counsellors, which he had to assist him in judgment, when any difficult matters were before him; the Syriac and Ethiopic versions render it, “with his counsellors”; and the Arabic reads in the singular number, “with his counsellor”; with these he advised, whether it was proper to admit of Paul’s appeal, or not; and having had their opinion, he answered, hast thou appealed unto Caesar? unto Caesar shalt thou go: the question is put, partly for the more certain knowledge of the thing, that there might be no mistake in it; and partly on account of the Jews, that they might see that though he was disposed to do them a favour, it was not in his power, because of this appeal; and it may be with some resentment in himself, since it carried in it a sort of reflection upon him, as if he was incapable of issuing this affair, or would not be just and faithful in it.

ACTS 25:13. And after certain days, Several days after the above appeal made by Paul: King Agrippa and Bernice came unto Caesarea to salute Festus: this King Agrippa was the son of Herod Agrippa, who killed James the brother of John, and of whose death mention is made in (Acts 12:1, 2) the Jewish chronologer calls him Agrippa the Second, the son of Agrippa the First, the fifth king of the family of Herod: he was not king of Judea, this was reduced again into a province by Claudius; and upon the death of his uncle Herod, king of Chalcis, he was by the said emperor made king of that place, who afterwards removed him from thence to a greater kingdom, and gave him the tetrarchy, which was Philip’s, his great uncle’s; namely, Batanea, Trachonitis, and Gaulanitis, to which he added the kingdom of Lysanius; (see Luke 3:1)) and the province which Varus had; and to these Nero added four cities, with what belonged to them; in Perea, Abila and Julias, and in Galilee, Tarichea and Tiberias. The Jewish writers often make mention of him, calling him, as here, King Agrippa; (see Gill on “Acts 26:3”), and so does Josephus. According to the above chronologer he was had to Rome by Vespasian, when he went to be made Caesar; and was put to death by him, three years and a half before the destruction of the temple; though others say he lived some years after it: and some of the Jewish writers affirm, that in his days the temple was destroyed. Agrippa, though he was a Jew, his name was a Roman name; Augustus Caesar had a relation of this name, who had a son of the same name, and a daughter called Agrippina; and Herod the great being much obliged to the Romans, took the name from them, and gave it to one of his sons, the father of this king: the name originally was given to such persons, who at their birth came forth not
with their heads first, as is the usual way of births, but with their feet first, and which is accounted a difficult birth; and “ab aegritudine”, from the grief, trouble, and weariness of it, such are called Agrippas. Bernice, who is said to be with King Agrippa, is not the name of a man, as some have supposed, because said to sit in the judgment hall with the king, but of a woman; so called, in the dialect of the Macedonians, for Pheronice, which signifies one that carries away the victory; and this same person is, in Suetonius, called Queen Beronice, for whom Titus the emperor is said to have a very great love, and was near upon marrying her: she was not wife of Agrippa, as the Arabic version reads, but his sister; his father left besides him, three daughters, Bernice, Mariamne, and Drusilla, which last was the wife of Felix, (Acts 24:24). Bernice was first married to her uncle Herod, king of Chalcis, and after his death to Polemon, king of Cilicia, from whom she separated, and lived in too great familiarity with her brother Agrippa, as she had done before her second marriage, as was suspected, to which incest Juvenal refers; and with whom she now was, who came together to pay a visit to Festus, upon his coming to his government, and to congratulate him upon it.

ACTS 25:14. And when they had been there many days. Indulging themselves in pleasure, and spending their time in conversing on various subjects; and in order to carry on the conversation, and pass away time, Festus declared Paul’s case unto the king; in the following manner: saying, there is a certain man left in bonds by Felix; the former governor in Caesarea, meaning Paul.

ACTS 28:15. About whom, when I was at Jerusalem. Quickly after he came to his government: the chief priests and elders of the Jews informed me; brought an accusation to him, exhibited to him charges against him, presented to him a bill of information, setting forth various crimes he had been guilty of: desiring to have judgment against him; not barely to have his cause tried, but to have a sentence of condemnation passed upon him; some copies read “condemnation”, as the Alexandrian copy, and two of Beza’s; and that punishment is designed, and even death itself, is manifest from the following words.

ACTS 25:16. To whom I answered. As follows: it is not the manner of the Romans to deliver any man to die; or to give any man to destruction; to pass sentence of death upon him, without hearing his cause, and purely at the request of another, and merely to gratify him: before that he which is accused have the accusers face to face; so as to speak to his face, or before him, what they have to charge him with: and have licence to answer for himself, concerning the crime laid against him; and this was also according to the law of the Jews, (John 7:51) though Festus, from such an application to him by the chief priests and elders, might conclude that their manner was different, he being ignorant of their laws and customs; but their prejudice to the apostle carried them to act such an illegal part, or at least to desire it might be acted: it is one of the Jewish canons, that it is unlawful for a judge to hear one of the contending parties, before the other is come in.

ACTS 25:17. Therefore when they were come hither. To Caesarea, namely the chief priests and elders of the Jews: without any delay on the morrow, I sat on the judgment seat: that is, the next day after they came down, Festus went into the judgment hall, and took his place there, in order to hear this cause; which circumstance he mentions, to show how expeditious he was: and I commanded the man to be brought forth: from his place of confinement, to the hall, to answer for himself.

ACTS 25:18. Against whom when the accusers stood up. As they were obliged to
do, whilst they were exhibiting their charges, bearing their testimonies, and producing their proofs; (Acts 25:7). *They brought none accusation of such things as I supposed:* for by his being left in bonds, and by the information of the chief priests and elders, and their violence against him, he imagined he must be chargeable with some notorious capital crime.

**ACTS 25:19. But had certain questions against him of their own superstition.** Or religion; as about their law, which they said Paul had spoke against; and about their temple, which they pretended he had polluted; and about the resurrection of the dead, which he asserted, and some denied: *and of one Jesus which was dead, whom Paul affirmed to be alive:* for it seems more was said on each side, than is recorded by Luke: the Jews objected to him among other things, his belief in Jesus of Nazareth, whom they traduced as an impostor and deceiver; Paul on the other hand argued, that he was the true Messiah; and in proof of it, affirmed that though they had put him to death, he was risen from the dead, and so was declared to be the Son of God with power: Festus, it is very likely, had never heard of Jesus before, and therefore speaks of him in this manner; or if he had, he had entertained a contemptible opinion of him, as well as of the Jewish religion; and which he expresses, even in the presence of the king, who had outwardly at least embraced it.

**ACTS 25:20. And because I doubted of such manner of questions.** Or was ignorant of them, and knew not what to make of them, or to say to them, and was at an entire loss what to do in this affair: *I asked him whether he would go to Jerusalem, and there be judged of these matters:* before the Jewish sanhedrim, who best understood them.

**ACTS 25:21. But when Paul had appealed to be reserved.** In custody at Caesarea: *unto the hearing of Augustus:* to have his cause heard, tried, and judged of, by the Roman Emperor Nero, here called Augustus; for as it was usual for a Roman emperor to be called Caesar, from Julius Caesar, the first of them, so to be called Augustus, from Octavius Augustus, the second emperor: his original surname was Thurinus, but this being objected to him as a reproachful one, he afterwards took the name of Caesar, and then of Augustus; the one by the will of his great uncle, the other by the advice of Munatius Plancus; when some thought he ought to be called Romulus, as if he was the founder of the city, it prevailed that he should rather be called Augustus; not only this surname being new, but more grand, seeing religious places, and in which anything was consecrated by soothsaying, were called “Augusta, ab auctu, vel ab avium gestu, gustuve”, according to Ennius: in the Greek text the name is Sebastos, which signifies venerable and worshipful. *I commanded him to be kept:* in Caesarea, by a centurion, and not sent to Jerusalem: *till I might send him to Caesar:* till he could have an opportunity of sending him to Rome, to take his trial before the emperor.

**ACTS 25:22. Then Agrippa said to Festus.** After he had given him the above account: *I would also hear the man myself:* Agrippa being a Jew by profession, and knowing more of these things than Festus did, and very likely had heard much concerning Jesus Christ; and if not of the apostle, yet however of the Christian religion; and therefore he was very desirous, not only out of curiosity to see the man, but to hear him; and get some further information and knowledge about the things in dispute, between the Jews and Christians, in which Festus was very ready to gratify him: *tomorrow, said he, thou shall hear him:* and sooner things could not well be prepared for an affair of this kind, and for so grand a meeting.
ACTS 25:23. *And on the morrow, when Agrippa was come.* Into the hall, or court of judicature: *and Bernice;* his sister, along with him: *with great pomp:* in rich dress, with the “regalia”, or ensigns of royalty carried before them, and attended with a large train and retinue of servants: *and was entered into the place of hearing:* the causes that were tried in court, that particular part of the hall, which was assigned for that purpose; for as there were the proper places for the judge and council, and for the plaintiffs and defendants, so for those that came to hear: *with the chief captains;* or tribunes, who had the command of the Roman soldiers; and who had each of them a thousand men under them, as their title signifies: *and principal men of the city;* that is, of Caesarea; the magistrates, and chief inhabitants of the place: *at Festus's commandment Paul was brought forth;* and became a spectacle to a vast number of men, as he himself says; and which in part fulfilled what Christ had foretold to his disciples, that they should be brought before kings and governors for his sake; (see 1 Corinthians 4:9 Matthew 10:18).

ACTS 25:24. *And Festus said, King Agrippa.* He addressed himself to him in the first place, as being the principal person, and of great dignity, as well as knowledge: *and all men which are here present with us;* the chief captains, and principal inhabitants of the city: *ye see this man* the prisoner at the bar, meaning Paul: *about whom all the multitude of the Jews have dealt with me:* applied unto him, interceded with him, and very importunately pressed and desired him to give judgment against him: *both at Jerusalem and also here;* at Caesarea, whither they came from Jerusalem to accuse him: *crying: in a very noisy and clamorous way: that he ought not to live any longer;* as they did before Lysias the chief captain, (Acts 22:22) and so in the hearing of Festus; for it was his death they sought, and nothing else would satisfy them.

ACTS 25:25. *But when I found that he had committed nothing worthy of death.* Which was a public testimony of the apostle’s innocence, to the great mortification of his enemies, some of whom might be present; a like testimony was given of him by Lysias, (Acts 23:29). *And that he himself hath appealed unto Augustus;* the Emperor Nero; (see Acts 25:21). *I have determined to send him;* having had the opinion of his council upon it.

ACTS 25:26. *Of whom I have no certain thing.* No certain crime, charge, or accusation; nothing of any moment or consequence, no particular thing, nothing but a heap of confused notions, of I know not who or what: *to write unto my lord;* meaning the Roman emperor, under whom he served as governor of Judea: *wherefore I have brought him before you;* the whole company then present: *and especially before thee, O King Agrippa;* as being not only a man of eminence, dignity, and authority, but of knowledge in such matters, which the Jews accused Paul of; (see Acts 26:2,3). *That after examination had;* of Paul, and his case; *I might have somewhat to write;* concerning him, and the charges exhibited against him to the emperor.

ACTS 25:27. *For it seemeth to me unreasonable to send a prisoner.* A man bound as if he was a malefactor, and guilty of some heinous crimes, to Rome, to be tried before Caesar: *and not withal to signify the crimes laid against him;* for which he is a prisoner, and for which he is sent to the emperor: it seemed to Festus an absurd thing, and what might be justly looked upon by his master, a foolish, silly, and stupid piece of conduct, and void of common sense and reason, mere madness and folly; to send him a prisoner, and not signify in his letter to
him, what was laid to his charge; and yet this
was so dark and obscure, that he could not tell
what to make of it, nor what to write to his
lord about it; and hoped therefore, upon this
re-examination of Paul before Agrippa, he
should come to a more certain knowledge of
this affair, and be better furnished to give
Nero an account of it, to whom the apostle had
appealed.

Herod Agrippa II
from Wikipedia

Herod Agrippa II (born AD 27/28), officially
named Marcus Julius Agrippa and sometimes just
called Agrippa, was the seventh and last king of
the family of Herod the Great, the Herodians. He
was the son of the first and better-known Herod
Agrippa, the brother of Berenice, Mariamne, and
Drusilla (second wife of the Roman procurator
Antonius Felix).

Herod Agrippa II was educated at the court of the
emperor Claudius, and at the time of his father's
death was only seventeen years old. Claudius
therefore kept him at Rome, and sent Cuspius
Fadus as procurator of the Roman province of
Judaea. While at Rome, he voiced his support for
the Jews to Claudius, and against the Samaritans
and the procurator of Iudaea Province, Ventidius
Cumanus, who was lately thought to have been the
cause of some disturbances there. On the death of
Herod of Chalcis in 48, his small principality of
Chalcis, Syria was given to Herod Agrippa, with
the right of superintending the Temple in
Jerusalem and appointing its high priest. In 53, he
was deprived of that kingdom by Claudius, who
made him governor over the tetrarchies of Philip
and Lysanias. Herod Agrippa II celebrated by
marrying off his two sisters Mariamne and
Drusilla. Flavius Josephus, the Jewish historian,
repeats the gossip that Herod Agrippa lived in an
incestuous relationship with his sister, Berenice.

In 55, Nero added the cities of Tiberias and
Taricheae in Galilee, and Julias, with fourteen
villages near it, in Peraea. Agrippa expended large
sums in beautifying Jerusalem and other cities,
especially Berytus. His partiality for the latter
rendered him unpopular amongst his own subjects,
and the capricious manner in which he appointed
and deposed the high priests made him disliked by
the Jews. Agrippa failed to prevent his subjects
from rebelling, and urged instead that they tolerate
the behavior of the Roman procurator Gessius
Florus. But in 66 the Jews expelled him and
Berenice from the city. During the First Jewish-
Roman War of 66–73, he sent 2,000 men, archers
and cavalry, to support Vespasian, showing that,
although a Jew in religion, he was entirely devoted
to the Romans. He accompanied Titus on some
campaigns, and was wounded at the siege of
Gamala. After the capture of Jerusalem, he went
with his sister Berenice to Rome, where he was
invested with the dignity of praetor and rewarded
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with additional territory.

According to Photius, Agrippa died, childless, at the age of seventy, in the third year of the reign of Trajan, that is, 100, but statements of historian Josephus, in addition to the contemporary epigraphy from his kingdom, cast this date into serious doubt. The modern scholarly consensus holds that he died before 93/94. He was the last prince of the house of the Herods.

It was before him and his sister Berenice that, according to the New Testament, Paul the Apostle pleaded his case at Caesarea Maritima, possibly in 59.

He had a great intimacy with the historian Josephus, having supplied him with information for his history, Antiquities of the Jews. Josephus preserved two of the letters he received from him.

From International Standard Bible Encyclopedia

Agrippa II, the son of Agrippa I and Cypros, daughter of Phasael (Herod the Great’s brother’s son) and Salampsio (Herod the Great’s daughter), was born in A.D. 27 (Josephus, Antiquities, xviii.5.4). Although Claudius wanted to make Agrippa II king over his father’s territories, two freedmen persuaded him that a youth of seventeen years of age would not be able to rule such a large territory with so many diverse elements among the population. In A.D. 50, however, two years after the death of Agrippa II’s uncle and brother-in-law Herod king of Chalcis, Claudius made Agrippa II king of Chalcis (Ant. xx.5.2 ; BJ ii.12.1 ). In 53 Claudius granted Agrippa II the tetrarchy of Philip—Abilene (or Abila), Trachonitis, and Arca (the tetrarchy of Varus)—in exchange for the territory of Chalcis (Ant. x.7.1 ; BJ ii.12.8 ). Shortly after Nero became emperor in A.D. 54, he gave Agrippa the Galilean cities of Tiberias and Tarichea and their surrounding land as well as the Peraean cities of Julias (or Betharamphtha) and Abila and their surrounding land (e.g., Julias had fourteen surrounding villages) (Ant. xx.8.4 ; BJ ii.13.2 ).

In appreciation for the imperial favor, Agrippa enlarged his capital city Caesarea Philippi and renamed it Neronias (Ant. xx.9.4 ). Agrippa II now ruled Philip the tetrarch’s territory with the added toparchies of Galilee and the three detached territories of Abilene, two middle toparchies of Perea, and Arca.

Agrippa II’s private life was not exemplary. His sister Bernice came to live with him after their uncle, who was also her second husband, Herod king of Chalcis, died in A.D. 48. Because of the rumors of incest, she resolved to marry Polemo of Cilicia, but shortly after this she returned to her relationship with her brother. This incestuous relationship became the common chatter in Rome (Ant. xx.7.3 ; Juvenal Satires vi.156–160).

Like his father Agrippa I, and his uncle Herod king of Chalcis, Agrippa II had control of the vestments of the high priest and had the right to appoint the high priests (Ant. xv.11.4 [405–407]; xx.1.1–3 ; 5.2 ; 9.4 ). The Romans would consult him on religious matters and this may be why Festus asked him to hear Paul at Caesarea in A.D. 59. Agrippa was accompanied by his sister Bernice (Acts 25–26).

In May of 66 the revolution in Palestine began (Bj ii.14.4 ). Although Agrippa failed to quell the revolt, he sided with the Romans all through the war of 66–70. After Nero’s suicide on June 9, 68, Vespasian sent his son Titus, with Agrippa accompanying him, to pay respects to the new emperor Galba. Before they reached Rome, however, they received the news of Galba’s murder (Jan. 15, 69) and Titus returned to Palestine while Agrippa continued to Rome.

After Vespasian was elected emperor (July 1, 69) by the Egyptian and Syrian legions, Agrippa returned to Palestine to take the oath of allegiance to the new emperor (Tacitus Hist ii.81). Agrippa sided with Titus, who was in charge of the war in Palestine (Tacitus Hist v.1), and after the capture of Jerusalem (Aug. 5, 70), Agrippa was probably present at the victory celebrations in Rome over the destruction of his people (Bj vii.1.2f ).

Vespasian confirmed Agrippa in the possession of the kingdom he had previously governed and added new territories that are not recorded. In A.D. 75 he and his sister Bernice went to Rome where she resumed being Titus’s mistress (as
she had been during the war of 66–70). This became a public scandal (Tacitus Hist ii.2). The Roman populace was against oriental queens because one of their choicest sons, Mark Antony, had been destroyed by the lust of the oriental queen Cleopatra. So Titus sent her away. When he became emperor in A.D. 79, Bernice returned again to Rome hoping to become the emperor’s wife. But once again Titus sent her away (Dio Cassius lvi.18), and she returned to Palestine, fading out of the pale of history.

After this time, nothing is known of Agrippa except that he corresponded with Josephus about The Jewish War, praised him for his accuracy, and subsequently purchased a copy (Vita lxv; Cap i.9). Some theorize that Agrippa II died in A.D. 93, but it seems more likely to have been ca A.D. 100. Although the Talmud (TB Sukkah 27a) implies that Agrippa had two wives, Josephus gives no indication of his being married or having any children. His death marked the end of the Herodian family.
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Her first marriage was to Marcus the son of Alexander Lysimachus the “alabarch” of Alexandria. After Marcus’ death she married her uncle, King Agrippa’s brother Herod, for whom Agrippa was able to procure the kingdom of Chalcis from Claudius (Josephus, Ant. xix.5.1). This marriage produced two sons, Bernicianus and Hyrcanus (xx.5.2). After Herod’s death in 48 she became involved in an incestuous relationship with her brother Agrippa II, with whom she listened to the defense of Paul at Caesarea before Festus. In an attempt to silence the rumors about her relationship with Agrippa, she persuaded Polemo king of Sicily to undergo circumcision and marry her; but soon after they were married she left him and returned to her brother (xx.7.3).

Josephus writes that she was in Jerusalem to perform a vow at the time when Procurator Florus slaughtered many of the Jews (A.D. 66). She pleaded with him to stop the massacre; but he refused to listen to her, and she herself was almost killed by his soldiers (BJ ii.15.1). During the war that ensued, her palace—as well as that of Agrippa—was set on fire by the Jews (ii.17.6).

In later years she and Agrippa took an oath of loyalty to the emperor Vespasian. It appears that ca 75 they moved to Rome, where she became the mistress of Vespasian’s son Titus.
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FELIX fē’lik-sus Antonius an-tōʼni-as

A Roman procurator of Judea, appointed by the emperor Claudius to succeed Cumanus.

The event that led to the introduction of Felix into the narrative of Acts was the riot at Jerusalem (Acts 21:27). There Paul, being attacked at the instigation of the Asian Jews for alleged false teaching and profanation of the temple, was rescued with difficulty by Lysias the chief captain. But Lysias, finding that Paul was a Roman citizen, and that therefore the secret plots against the life of his captive might entail serious consequences for himself, and finding also that Paul was charged on religious rather than on political grounds, sent him on to Felix at Caesarea for trial (Acts 21:31–23:34). On his arrival, Paul was presented to Felix and was then detained for five days in the judgment hall of Herod, till his accusers could also reach Caesarea (23:33–35).

The trial was begun, but after hearing the evidence of Tertullus and the speech of Paul in his own defense, Felix deferred judgment (24:1–22). The excuse he gave for delay was the continued absence of Lysias, but his real reason was to obtain bribes for the release of Paul. He
therefore treated his prisoner at first with leniency and pretended along with Drusilla to take interest in his teaching. But these attempts to induce Paul to purchase his freedom failed ignominiously; Paul sought favor of neither Felix nor Drusilla and made the frequent interviews that he had with them an opportunity for preaching to them concerning righteousness, temperance, and the final judgment. The case dragged on for two years till Felix, upon his retirement, “desiring to do the Jews a favor ... left Paul in prison” (24:27). (According to some MSS, the continued imprisonment of Paul was due to the desire of Felix to please Drusilla.)

Felix was the brother of Pallas, the infamous favorite of Claudius who, according to Tacitus (Josephus, Ann. xiii.14), fell into disgrace in A.D. 55. Tacitus implies that Felix was joint procurator of Judea along with Cumanus before being appointed to the sole command, but Josephus is silent about this. Both Tacitus and Josephus refer to his succeeding Cumanus, Josephus stating that it was at the instigation of Jonathan the high priest. There is some doubt about the chronology of Felix’s tenure of office. Harnack and Blass, following Eusebius and Jerome, place his accession in A.D. 51, and the imprisonment of Paul in 54–56; but most modern commentators incline to the dates 52 for his accession and ca 58–60 for Paul’s trial. Felix was succeeded, after Nero recalled him, by Festus.

The testimony of Acts concerning the evil character of Felix is fully corroborated by the writings of Josephus (BJ ii.13.2–4; Ant. xx.8.5; cf. Tacitus Ann xii.54). Although he suppressed the robbers and murderers who infested Judea, among them the “Egyptian” to whom Lysias refers (Acts 21:38), yet “he himself was more hurtful than them all.” When occasion offered, he did not hesitate to employ the Sicarii (see Assassins) for his own ends, including the murder of the high priest Jonathan (Josephus Ant. xx.8.5). Trading upon the influence of his brother at court, his cruelty and rapacity knew no bounds; during his rule revolts became continuous, marking a distinct stage in that seditious movement which culminated in the outbreak of A.D. 66–70 (cf. HJP, II/2, 174–182). His leaving Paul in bonds was but a final instance of one who sacrificed duty and justice for the sake of his own unscrupulous selfishness.
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FESTUS fεs’təs, PORCIUS pōr’shəs [Gk. Porkios Phēstos]. The Roman governor or procurator who succeeded FELIX ANTONIUS in the province of Judea (Acts 24:27). The only sources of information concerning Festus are the NT and Josephus.

Josephus’ writings picture Festus as a prudent and honorable governor. Felix’s maladministration bequeathed to Festus the impossible task of restoring order to a province embroiled in political strife and overrun by robbers. The Sicarii (ASSASSINS), as the robbers were called on account of the small swords they carried, would come upon a village, plunder it, set it on fire, and murder whomever they wished. Through the use of an impostor, Festus succeeded in ridding the province of many of these criminals (Josephus, Ant. xx.8.10 [185–88]). But his procuratorship was too short to undo the legacy of his predecessor, and under his successor, Albinus, the situation rapidly deteriorated once again (BJ ii.14.1 [271–76]).

One of the problems Festus inherited from Felix was the question of Paul’s imprisonment. Attempting to exploit the new governor’s inexperience, the Jews requested that Paul be sent to Jerusalem for trial, hoping to assassinate him on the way (Acts 25:3). Festus at first refused their request, and upon his return to Caesarea he himself examined Paul (v 6). On finding that the evidence was conflicting, however, and desiring to please the Jews, he asked Paul if he were agreeable to making the journey to Jerusalem (vv 7–9). But Paul, who knew well the nefarious use that the Jews would make of the favor Festus was willing to
grant them, made his appeal to Caesar (vv 10f.). To this request of a Roman citizen accused on a capital charge (cf. v 16), Festus had to give his consent (v 12).

When King Agrippa and Bernice arrived in Caesarea a few days later, Festus sought Agrippa's advice on this difficult case. (See HEROD VIII.) At Agrippa's request, Paul was brought before him for a private hearing. Festus' reaction to Paul's testimony betrayed his Roman mind and his ignorance concerning the Jewish and Christian religions (vv 24–27). Festus' friendship with Agrippa is further illustrated by Josephus' account of a dispute between Agrippa and the Jewish priests (Ant. xx.8.11 [189–196]). When the priests discovered that Agrippa could observe the activities in the temple from his portico, they built a wall to obstruct his view. Agrippa objected to this, and Festus sided with him. The Jews therefore appealed to Rome, with the result that Nero permitted the wall to stand.

The exact dates of Festus' term in office are uncertain. Eusebius gives the date of his accession as A.D. 56, but this is too early. His term probably extended from 60 to 62.